

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/627,253	07/28/2000	John R. Mason	1322/40/2	2388
25297	7590 12/28/2005		EXAMINER	
JENKINS, WILSON & TAYLOR, P. A.			NGUYEN, TOAN D	
3100 TOWER BLVD SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DURHAM, NC 27707			2665	
			DATE MAILED: 12/28/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/627,253
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner
	Toan D. No

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/627,253	MASON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Toan D. Nguyen	2665	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 02 December 2005. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below): (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 35-41,73 and 74. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10,22-34,42-50,61-66,69-72,75 and 76. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues with respect to claims 1, 5, 22, 29 and 42, Sladek and Pirkola fail to teach or suggest generating presence information, generating a presence registration message, or forwarding the presence registration message to a presence server as claimed. The examiner disagrees. Applicant's attention is directed to Sladek patent at col. 14 line 37 to col. 15 line 7 (in figure 9) where Sladek clearly teaches "At step 60, SMS logic 42 generates the specified text message. In doing so, SMS logic 42 retrieves the partially canned message from Mr. Smith's profile and notes that the message is supposed to include the name of the called party and data and time of the call. Given only the number of the called party, SMS logic 42 concludes that it must convert the dial digits into the name of the called party. Thus, SMS logic 42 queries name/number database 50, to identify the subscriber name corresponding to the dialed subscriber number, and the database returns a string value, "Pete Harrison". In addition, SMS logic 42 identifies the current time as 14:34:03 and the current date as Jul. 29, 2004. SMS logic 42 then inserts these parameters into the partially defined message and establishes a complete informational text message, which reads, "George Smith called Pete Harrision at 14:34:03 on Jul. 29, 2004." And at col. 15 line 4 "Finally, at step 66, upon receipt of the SMDPP, MSC 26 then delivers the SMS message to MS 48, where the text message is displayed for viewing by Pete Harrison". Therfore, the examiner retained the final rejection.

MAN U. PHAN V PRIMARY EXAMINER